Basis of Design

Project Title:

SR 20 Sharpes Corner Vicinity - Improvements

PIN:

102029K

Date:

6/2/2016

Basis of Design Phase (Identify the current project phase)

Planning	

Scopi		

Design	X
--------	---

Planning Document Summary

This project has a long history over the past decade. A Pre-Design study was completed in 2007 and presented multiple, high-cost options at Sharpes Corner. Two public meetings were held between 2007 and 2008 to present these alternatives and solicit public feedback. A VE study was conducted in 2008 and recommended a roundabout w/cut & cover tunnel along with improvements at Miller/Gibralter, Fidalgo Bay Rd and to provide a multi-use trail between Marches Point Rd and Sharpes Corner. Project was Shelved in 2009. 2012 "Fee Bill" provided funding to update collision data, growth forecast and re-evaluate roundabout configuration. Design was revised and cut/cover tunnel removed from project. Project applied for 2013 TIGER Grant which was not awarded and the project was shelved.

General Project Information								
	SR	NHS (Y/N)	Functional Class	Current Posted Speed		Truck %		
Route Information:	20	Y	Urban-Principal Arterial	50 / 55 MPH		9.72		
	Begin MP	End MP	Sub-Program	County		Funding		
Project Information:	47.04	48.4	I1 Urban Mobility	Skagit				
	Current ADT	Within City?	Current Listed Access	Current Planned Access	11 - Urt	oan Mobility		
	29,981	Partially within Anacortes	Limited (east / west), Managed Class 2 (south)	No revisions planned				
Future and Related Projects:	Within the project limits, no future projects are listed in the Mount Baker Area six year plan. We are unaware of any planned by local agencies adjacent to the project. A paving project on the SR 20 Spur is planned for construction in the summer of 2017.							
Important Project History or Background Information (Optional: information that will help understand why the preferred alternative was chosen)	nation been identified as areas where improvements could be made that would enhance the safety and mobility of the Sharpes Coonal: information that will help understand intersection.					highway running cles per day s with 56% of ocation (IAL), g queueing that beed, rear-end		
why the prototod anomalive was disselly	Additionally the Sharpes Corner intersection currently lacks facilities for multi-modal crossing of SR 20 and users are forced to find alternate routes or use significant detours to get to a designated crossing.							
	This project will address safety, mobility and freight needs by constructing improvements at the Sharpes Corner intersection and evaluating the need for improvements at other locations within the general vicinity. In addition, the needs of non-motorized safety and connectivity will be evaluated and addressed.							
	In 2009, the city of Anacortes completed the construction of Scandia Rd. and Old Brooke Lane. This work was completed in effort to help provide traffic congestion relief while the Sharpes Corner improvements are being constructed.							

Design Instructions for more details.	T	the information required in this section may already be covered in the report. See the Basis of
	Baseline Ne attached. R SAFETY I SR 20/SR: The Sharpe fatal & injur Performance Reduce AL SR20 / Mill 50% of the between 20 Performance Reduce the MOBILITY SR 20/SR: The Sharpe PM Peak F Performance Improve to	ed information below is a brief summary of the information presented in the Need Statement document effect to the Need Statement for additional information. NEEDS 20 Spur (Sharpes Corner) as Corner intersection is experiencing approx. 61% more total crashes and approx. 62% more by crashes than a typical urban 3-leg signal. be Metrics: L crashes by 40%; Reduce Fatal & Serious Injury crashes by 80%. Ider Rd / Gibralter Rd crashes at this location fall in the Fatal & Serious Injury category & there were two fatalities by 11 & 2015. be Metrics: a number of Fatal & Serious Injury crashes to 0 crashes/year. NEEDS 20 Spur (Sharpes Corner) as Corner intersection currently operates at LOS D with an average delay of 35 sec/veh. In the flour. be Metrics: LOS A (average user delay of 10 sec/veh or better) in the PM Peak Hour.
	During the Performant Reduce de	lay of side legs to 20 sec/veh or better (LOS B). Ir / Fidalgo Bay Rd. crashes were enter at angle type which accounted for 80% of all injury crashes at this location.
List the project's CONTEXTUAL NEED(S). Include the performance metrics that will be used to evaluate alternatives. List performance targets for the metrics, if applicable.	Improve cointersection Maintain A Accommo	onnectivity for Non-Motorized users between Sharpes Corner and March's Point Road ons. (Performance Metric N/A) Access Control between Sharpes Corner and Miller/Gibralter. (Performance Metric N/A) date "Superloads" at Sharpes Corner. (Performance Metric N/A) tormwater Treatment. (Performance Metric N/A)
Has a Contributing Factors Analysis been completed? If so, list any major findings that are useful in more specifically understanding the project need(s).		ed "Contributing Factors" Document ed "Alternative Determination History" Document
Has a crash diagnosis (i.e. Crash Analysis Report) been completed? (Yes or No)	YES	See Attached "Crash Analysis Report"

Section 2) Context

Land Use Context (existing and future)	Existing land use in the project vicinity is a rural corridor. Land use is mostly residential homes in the vicinity, with a few small businesses at the intersection including a Motel, Gas Station, Restaurant and Flea Market. Just outside of the project zone are two refineries; Shell and Tesoro. The Industrial land use adds heavy freight and cyclic traffic to the area. Residential Density in this area is low-moderate. Much of the land in the project vicinity is Residential, but houses are on large lots and are mostly single family homes. Employment Density in the immediate area is Low. Only a few small businesses exist within the project area. Sharpes Corner and a large portion of the project is within the City of Anacortes. The City limit line is about 1000 feet south of Sharpes Corner. Large employers exist in the City of Anacortes, at the March's Point Refineries, and along the I-5 corridor, so commuter traffic is moderate in the area. Pedestrian and transit traffic modes are currently very low and not expected to grow. Few pedestrian generators exist within the project limits. A small number of cyclists travel through this intersection as commuters and a fair number pass through as recreational users. The built environment is rural in this area. No nearby buildings are taller than 2 stories and structures are set well back from the traveled way. This intersection does not have any activity centers.
	There are three Public Open Houses planned throughout the project. Documentation from past open houses has been used to
Community Engagement	identify contextual needs. Input from on-line forums and other sources is being monitored. A project website has been developed and will continue to be actively maintained.
Transportation Contact	Sharpe's Corner is a key link between the I-5 Corridor, Anacortes, and Whidbey Island. For Residents of Oak Harbor, Anacortes, and the surrounding communities, this corridor represents the only way to and from their homes. Sharpe's corner is also a link in the 400 Mile Cascade Loop Scenic Byway, and is part of a Federally designated US Bike Route which begins in Anacortes. This corridor also provides access to three Washington State Ferry Docks (Anacortes, Coupeville, and Clinton). These three ferry docks accounted for a combined 28.8% of total 2014 WSF ridership with a total of 6.7 Million passengers utilizing these routes.
Transportation Context (existing and future)	Local connectivity of this intersection is low, intersections are very spaced out and sidewalks/ crosswalks do not currently exist at Sharpe's Corner. Speeds along this route are high, especially along the East and West legs where it is designated limited access highway. An overwhelming majority of traffic in this area is through traffic connecting between the population centers and recreational opportunities of Island County. Due to limited and managed access in the area, an increase of travel destinations within the project limits is unlikely. The priority at this intersection is cars on long trips moving between zones outside of the immediate area.
	This corridor is a T-2 Freight route. Truck frequency and size are high in this area. The presence of superloads generated by the local refineries and deepwater port will need to be considered during alternative selection.
Major Environmental Considerations (See the Environmental Review Summary for Details)	Wetlands exist along both sides of the East and West legs of Sharpe's corner including a Type IV Estuarine wetland to the SW of the intersection which would require major mitigation if affected by work. Wildlife impacts will likely be low to non-existent during construction.

	Section	Design Controls						
Selected Design Years (and explanation for the selection)		2037 (20 years from construction)						
Design Users	Commuter, Commercial (truck), Transit (bus), Bicyclists (commuter and recreational), Pedestrians (minimal), Super Load							
Modal Compatibility	As identified in the Context discussed above, the project area is used by all modes of transportation. For Automobile, Freight and Fransit modes the project area is largely used as a connection to other cities, towns or highways and there are very few trip generating sources. On occasion, the refineries at March's Point have a need to pass a "superload" through the intersection and our proposed improvements will take that into account. This route is frequently used by a small group of bicycle commuters and is used on occasion by recreational cyclists. Pedestrian traffic in the area is minimal and is generally a product of the few pusinesses that exist near the Sharpes Corner intersection. Based on current zoning, discussions with the City of Anacortes and observed lack of growth in the project area we do not anticipate the Land Use or Transportation Context to change over the life of the project so the Modal Compatibility will be designed to match the existing context.							
	Mode	To be prioritized in design decisions? (Yes or No)	Rank / Priority (1,2,3, etc.)	Notes				
	Automobile	Yes	1	Generally commuting through the project area				
	Automobile	res	'	toward other destinations.				
Modal Priorities	Transit	Yes	1	toward other destinations. The area is used by local transit agencies. One transit stop exists within the project limits.				
Modal Priorities			1 2	The area is used by local transit agencies. One				
Modal Priorities	Transit	Yes	1 2 4	The area is used by local transit agencies. One transit stop exists within the project limits.				
Modal Priorities	Transit Freight	Yes Yes		The area is used by local transit agencies. One transit stop exists within the project limits. No freight generators within project limits.				
Modal Priorities	Transit Freight Pedestrian	Yes Yes No Yes Yes	4 4 3	The area is used by local transit agencies. One transit stop exists within the project limits. No freight generators within project limits. Possible generators exist at Sharpes Corner We are aware of a group that commutes through				

Rolling
SR 20 MP 48.89 to 48.40 (East Leg) and SR 20 Spur MP 47.89 to 48.40 (West Leg): Limited Access - Partial Control SR 20 MP 47.04 to 47.89 (South Leg): Managed Access - Class 2.
Existing access classifications are appropriate for the current and future context.
All target speeds match posted speeds.
Sharpes Corner: 55 mph on east and west legs. 50 mph on south Leg. Segment between Sharpes and Miller/Gibralter: 50 mph
Miller Gibralter: 50 MPH on SR20, 30 MPH on Miller Road, and 35 MPH on Gibralter Road.

Section 4) Alternatives Analysis

Note for I-2 Safety Projects: If a Crash Analysis Report already exists, some of the information required in this section may already be covered in the report. See the Basis of Design Instructions for more details.

		Alternative Name or Description
	No Build	No Build
	Α	[Sharpes Corner: Signalized with two left turn lanes] [Miller Gibralter: Roundabout]
	В	[Sharpes Corner: Roundabout] [Miller Gibralter: Roundabout]
Alternatives		
Considered		
Preferred Alternative	В	

Attach copies or provide information (title, date, etc.) regarding alternatives analysis, trade-offs comparison, or similar exercises that have been completed for this project, such as an ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON TABLE.

See attached "Alternatives Comparison Table"

Section 5) Design Element Selection For each design element below, identify whether or not the design element is included in the preferred alternative for each alignment or location. You can group alignments into a single location if desired. You may need to add or delete columns. SR 20 (50MPH, south leg) **Design Element** Including Miller / SR 20 / SR 20 Gibralter Spur (55MPH) Intersection 1. Lane Х 2. Median / Buffer Χ 3. Shoulder Х 4. Streetside / Roadside Zone Х 5. Pedestrian Facility Х 6. Bicycle Facility Χ 7. Bridges Χ 8. Horizontal Alignment 9. Vertical Alignment 10. Cross Slope 11. Side Slope Χ 12. Clear Zone Χ

13. Barrier, Guardrail & Rumble Strips	X				
14. Signals, Illumination, and ITS	X	х	7		
15. Signing and Delineation	X	x x			
16. On/Off Connections		•			
17. Intersection / Ramp Terminal					
18. Road Approaches		X			
19. Roundabout	X	. х			
20. Access Control		X			

Basis of Design Form Date: 11-17-15

Cost				\$0	\$7.7 million	\$8.7 million	
					↔	€	
	er &						
	Community & Stakeholder	ofuA	Limit construction duration	‡	+	0	
	ake l	Ped	Improve Connectivity	-	+	+	
	Str	Bike	Improve Connectivity	-	+	+	
SS							
Contextual Performance Metrics	Environment						
anc	l vir						
Ē	- ii		Provide stormwater treatment	•	+	+	
I Perfc							
xtua	Other	OlbA	ווומווורפוומוורפ		0	_	
nte		eu8 - tiansıT otuA	Maintenance	0	0	+	
Ŝ	1 1	otuA	Provide Illumination	0	+	+	
	a g g s			_			
	Accommodate slow moving vehicles between M/G and Sharpe's	Freight - General	Accomodates trucks	0	+	+	
	ow n vehi twee	Transit - General	Accomodates buses	1	<u></u>	‡	
	Ac sl sl be	Freight - Transport	Accommodates Superloads	0	+	+	
	8 -						
	Access	Freight - General	Improve Aaccess control	-	+	+ +	
	ĕŏ	Auto Transit - General	Improve Asccess control		+	‡ ‡	
	1	944	1034000 330000 A 01/03/mm			+	
		Freight - General			+	‡	
	£	Transit - General		. 1	+	‡	
	Safety	ojuA	# of Serious Injury and Fatal Crashes	•	+	‡	
g		Pèd Bike		-	,+	+	
Metrics				'	+	+	
nance							
Baseline Performance	Safety	Freight - General	Reduce Collisions		+	‡	
line F	"	IIA	Reduce Fatal & Serious Injury by 80% (Sharpes Corner)		+	‡	
Base		II V	Reduce All Crashes by 40% (Sharpes Corner)		+	‡	
			b	0	0	+	
	Mobility			1	+	‡	
	Mol	Auto/Transit/Freight	Reduce Delay to Side Legs by 20 sec/veh or better (Miller/Gibralter)	1	+	‡	
		hdgiər1\ransıT\otuA	Improve to LOS A (Sharpes Corner)	- 1	+	‡	
		企	↔		Ļ,		
	Categoi	apoM	[₽] METRIC		[wo	bou	
əɔu	Performa		Performance Performance	nig	L/II	dab	
			ER	No Build	gna	onu	
			[전: 1. [1987] 1987] 1987 - 전 1	->I	(C) CY	~ ~ ~ l	
			◀		:	5.78	
			•		pes: 8	pes: Fer/G:	
					A Sharpes: Signal / Two LT Miller/G: Roundabout	B Sharpes: Roundabout Miller/G: Roundabout	

Performance Trade-Offs Discussion and Recommended Preferred Alternative

Alternative A: According to the Crash Analysis Report (CAR), this alternative provides an 11% total crash reduction, which includes 17% reduction in fatal and injury crashes. The traffic analysis resulted in level of service (LOS) C for the PM peak in the year 2014 at Sharpes Corner, compared to D for no build. LOS at Miller / Gibralter intersection is A for both alternatives, compared to F for no-build.

Alternative B. According to the Crash Analysis Report (CAR), this alternative provides a 17% total crash reduction, which includes 46% reduction in fatal and injury crashes. The traffic analysis resulted in level of service A for the PM peak in the year 2040 at Sharpes Comer, compared to D for no-build. LOS at Miller / Gibratler intersection is A for both alternatives, compared to F for no-build.

The above CAR and LOS information shows both alternatives address the baseline needs. However, alternative B does so significantly better. Especially significant is reduction of fatal / injury crashes and significantly better LOS than alternative A. Both alternative B. the injury crashes and significantly better LOS than alternative A. Both alternative afternative.

he contextual needs are met equally beween the two alternatives, except access options are better for alternative B, and construction time will likely be somewhat less for the signal option.

commended atternative: B (two roundabouts, one at Sharpes Corner and one at the Miller / Gibralter Rd SR20 intersection).

Score (relative to other alternatives):
++ Optimal Performance
+ Benefit
o Neutral
- Impact

Alternative Comparison Table Form Date: 11-17-15